

## Around urgency as a hole, How can we wait?, and the connection to the pass. Paz Chaiat

During his lecture “The speaking being and the pass”<sup>1</sup>, Miller pointed that Lacan experienced an increasing urgency to provide a doctrine about the end of analysis. My understanding is that the urgency here, relates to Other’s claim from him, and this is what pushes him to do. For me, when became the time to write, with the deadline papers for this meeting, I ran into paralyzing mode. This was in contrary to my writing passion through the initial cartel meetings. Perhaps my paralyzing mode was linked to passion- in Hebrew language these two words are holding similar letters (paralyzing = “shituk”; passion = “tshuka”). Then, I thought of urgency as a sister of annoying postponement that came to me. Act compared to avoidance.

I found myself thinking about urgency as a gap in time, as meeting the traumatic *Real*. When something is urgent, it’s easier to illustrate subjectivity of time, because even few seconds can be experienced as a black hole without an end. In psychosis, there is a claim that the delusion is like a patch that stitched on a hole. I was wondering about stitching a particular solution for coping with the urgent moment. How can we wait in a way that is beyond just plugging a hole? How can we transform the suffering (in Hebrew suffering = “Sevel”) of the patience (patience = “Savlanut”), to less passive (passive= “Savil”), and to bring the subject to be present. How can we do something with the opened gap between “the before” and “the after”? Perhaps the meaning is to make you introduced with the symptom, and to be familiar with something in there. To add some symbolic sense in purpose to use the urgency for a subjective act or for a new discovery. It means to use the urgency for something else than immediate satisfaction- nor for hermetic closure of the impossible that emerged and pushing back to homeostasis.

I thought now on the “Pass” as an option of stitching the gap between “the before” and “the after”, a gap which takes a part in every urgent moment. Maybe it is like a solution for the end of analysis- when finally one knows to do something with his urgency, to stitch in there a Pass. Miller used the phrase “return to the end of analysis”. It contains dialectic paradox of returning to an end. Trying to work with this paradox, I thought of it as a partition to several endings, instead only one end. Recently, I was expecting for a specific weekend, but at the end it didn’t came out as I wanted. A friend told me- “there will be more weekends”. Believing for more means to give yourself up to an *Imaginary* illusion and to a *Symbolic* partition. Perhaps this believing is something that we need for the possibility of holding the impossible of urgency, even just for a moment. This type of believing can reduce the anxiety level, in order to begin learning how to do and to manage the hole of urgency. ●

1. Class from seminar “Choses de finesse en psychoanalyse” given by Jacques-Alain Miller at 21.1.2009.